



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.17

May 4th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

The Stranger within my gate, He may be true or kind, But he does not talk my talk -
I cannot see his mind. I see the face and the eyes and mouth, But not the soul behind.

The men of my own stock, They may do ill or well, But they tell me lies I am wonted to,
They are used to the lies I tell; And we do not need interpreters When we go to buy and sell.

The Stranger within my gates, He may be evil or good, But I cannot tell what powers control -
what reasons sway his mood; Nor when the Gods of his far-off land Shall repossess his blood.

The men of my own stock, Bitter bad they may be, But at least, they hear the things I hear,
And see the things I see; And whatever I think of them and their likes They think of the likes of me.

This was my father's belief And this also is mine: Let the corn be all in one sheaf -
And the grapes be all one vine, Ere our children's teeth are set on edge By bitter bread and wine.

"The Stranger" by Rudyard Kipling.

ANZAC DAY, ADELAIDE 2007 – THEY JUST DON'T GET IT! by Betty Luks: I don't know what happened at the many thousands of Anzac Day Dawn Services across this great brown land but the politicians at the Adelaide Dawn Service used the occasion for their own base political motives – and that was unforgivable. Not only did they shove-in ahead of the representatives of the Returned Servicemen to place wreaths, such as Liberal Nick Minchin, who placed his "on behalf of the Prime Minister", it was deemed essential that this order of service should be announced over the public address system! That was unprecedented.

After spending the last fifty years dividing and betraying the people of this great land they are continually striving to conjure up in our minds some unworkable, utopian, multicultural/ multiracial 'Australia' – and then presume to intrude upon Anzac Day.

To me, it appeared, the true representative sons of this once broadly British-Australian nation – the returned servicemen – were shoved aside on the one day they set apart to come together to commemorate the spirit of mateship forever embodied in the sacrifices made by those men on the shores at Gallipoli.

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Anzac Day, Adelaide, 2007 – They Just Don't Get It!* • *A Far From 'Noble Experiment'* • *'Global Warming' Hysterics Exposed* • *'Liberalism And The Religion Of Anti-Discrimination'*

Why is there such a yawning chasm between so many Australians and those politicians? That very wise South African journalist Ivor Benson, summed up the matter well:

"The entire known history of the human race will confirm that man is essentially a social animal and that he needs, as one of the first requirements of his moral health, the security provided by a sense of community or sense of belonging, which is something he has never been able to find hitherto except in some circumscribed group or community made up of individuals much the same as himself.

"Inseparable from such a pattern of existence which has persisted through millennia and is shared by the greater part of the animal kingdom, is a dual code of attitude and conduct clearly designed by nature to preserve that pattern – inside the group, amity and co-operation and mutual sympathy (even if spiced with a little competition between the individuals composing it); towards all those outside the group, an attitude of indifference which can harden into hostility and conflict, as circumstances dictate.

The individual living in such an environment is never morally confused: "These people," he says to himself, in effect, "are my people. These I can trust and they trust me." He draws a deep-rooted sense of security from the knowledge that there are people joined to him by a shared set of interests and obligations. Operating from such a firm base of security, he is prepared to risk his life, nay, even willingly sacrifice it, giving his all to the group from which he derives...

"Conspicuous among those on the *left* of politics are those individuals in whom intellect has been developed at the expense of instinct, and who now seek salvation in an imagined world in which all people will be equal and undifferentiated and in which mankind's primordial heritage of dual code can be replaced with a single code of universal amity and 'brotherhood'."

In this day of 'multiculturalism and multiracialism': Benson continues: "The moral and political distortion which afflicts the people of Western European origin can thus be ascribed to the obliteration of the ancient boundaries separating groups of self-consciously similar people and their absorption and intermingling in larger political units.

The individual, saddled with a psychology which is the evolutionary product of millennia of experience, now finds himself on the horns of a dilemma. Drawn or forced into a heterogeneous human environment, he brings with him, and cannot be parted from a deep-rooted need for a *homogenous* environment. The psychology of the dual code has been built into the cells of his body and brain..." And there you have it!

Like Rudyard Kipling, the great poet of old, "This was my father's belief And this also is mine: Let the corn be all in one sheaf – And the grapes be all one vine, Ere our children's teeth are set on edge By bitter bread and wine."

A FAR FROM 'NOBLE EXPERIMENT' by Betty Luks: Between 1996 and 2006, of the 50 most common countries of birth, persons born in the Sudan recorded the largest average increase (27 per cent per year), of immigrants to Australia. This is a vast social experiment. Professor Andrew Fraser warned that it could be a disaster for Australia and was taken to the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission by an African objector, backed by his own legal representation (refugees seem to have no trouble getting legal aid). However, the tale in the media is supporting Professor Fraser rather than the African objector and his legal representative.

Thus: Caroline Overington, "Noble Experiment", *The Australian*, 20/2/07, p.13, documents that both the U.N. and Australia are starting to wind-down the "African program", not because it has failed, "but the resettlement of large numbers of Sudanese in a short time has been harder than predicted". Even blind Freddy could see the problems posed by resettling masses of largely illiterate non-English-speakers with an average family size of five. One *more* reason for abandoning the United Nations!

And consider: Richard Kerbj "Warning on African Refugee Gangs", *The Australian*, 26/12/06. Young Africans, many of whom served in militia groups and used rocket launches and grenades, are forming racial-ethnic gangs. These men are "haunted by childhood images of killings, torture and rape and were constantly on edge." These memories are apparently causing gang fights with Turks, Lebanese and other Africans.

Worse yet: "You have the Somalians from Flemington usually [picking] on Somalians from Carlton, so it's like a territorial kind of thing." The usual weapon of choice is a baseball bat.

No doubt, in the future, guns will follow if something is not done to deal with some of the problems leading to social tension, such as unemployment.

And no doubt an army of psychologists and psychiatrists backed up by the 'trauma counselling' industry will be needed to deal with "the memories". Just submit the bill for all of this to the sucker weary taxpaying workers.

Only those who believe in the 'idiot theory' of history would see this social mess as simply some sort of a 'trend'. The results were easily predicted ahead of time. It is all part of the globalist plan. Gareth Kimberley said in a March 2003 *Strategy* article, "The New Black Australians": "The Howard Government's decision to dump black Sudanese refugees in Tamworth should set the alarm bells ringing right across the country. As a result of the government's anti-Australian policies of ever-increasing indiscriminate immigration and enforced multiculturalism, it is now faced with the problem of growing racial enclaves in our capital cities. In a vain attempt to alleviate the problem it has decided to spread the burden to our country towns and any town that dares to object is branded racist."

He rightly concludes: "Multiculturalism must be stopped before it destroys us."

'GLOBAL WARMING' HYSTERICS EXPOSED – *Britain's Channel 4*, 15/3/07: The Britain's Channel 4 has produced a devastating documentary titled *The Great Global Warming Swindle*. It has apparently not been broadcast by any of the networks in the United States – or Australia. But, fortunately, it is available on the Internet.

Distinguished scientists specializing in climate and climate-related fields talk in plain English and present readily understood graphs showing what a crock the current global-warming hysteria is.

These include scientists from MIT and top-tier universities in a number of countries. Some of these are scientists whose names were paraded on some of the global-warming publications that are being promoted in the media – but who state plainly that they neither wrote those publications nor approved them.

One scientist threatened to sue unless his name was removed.

While the public has been led to believe that "all" the leading scientists buy the global-warming hysteria and the political agenda that goes with it, in fact the official reports from the United Nations or the National Academy of Sciences are written by bureaucrats - and then garnished with the names of leading scientists who were "consulted," but whose contrary conclusions have been ignored.

There is no question that the globe is warming but it has warmed and cooled before, and is not as warm today as it was some centuries ago, before there were any automobiles and before there was as much burning of fossil fuels as today.

None of the dire things predicted today happened then.

The documentary goes into some of the many factors that have caused the earth to warm and cool for centuries, including changes in activities on the sun, 93 million miles away and wholly beyond the jurisdiction of the Kyoto treaty.

According to these climate scientists, human activities have very little effect on the climate, compared to many other factors, from volcanoes to clouds.

These climate scientists likewise debunk the mathematical models that have been used to hype global-warming hysteria, even though hard evidence stretching back over centuries contradicts these models.

What is even scarier than seeing how easily the public, the media, and the politicians have been manipulated and stampeded, is discovering how much effort has been put into silencing scientists who dare to say that the emperor has no clothes.

Academics who jump on the global-warming bandwagon are far more likely to get big research grants than those who express doubts – and research is the lifeblood of an academic career at leading universities.

Environmental movements around the world are committed to global-warming hysteria and nowhere more so than on college and university campuses, where they can harass those who say otherwise. One of the scientists interviewed on the documentary reported getting death threats.

In politics, even conservative Republicans seem to have taken the view that, if you can't lick 'em, join 'em. So have big corporations, which have joined the stampede. This only enables the green crusaders to declare at every opportunity that "everybody" believes the global-warming scenario, except for a scattered few "deniers" who are likened to Holocaust deniers.

The difference is that we have the hardest and most painful evidence that there was a Holocaust. But, for the global-warming scenario that is causing such hysteria, we have only a movie made by a politician and mathematical models whose results change drastically when you change a few of the arbitrarily selected variables.

No one denies that temperatures are about a degree warmer than they were a century ago.

What the climate scientists in the documentary deny is that you can mindlessly extrapolate that, or that we are headed for a climate catastrophe if we don't take drastic steps that could cause an economic catastrophe.

"Global warming" is just the latest in a long line of hysterical crusades to which we seem to be increasingly subjected.

Source: <www.channel4.com/science/microsites/G/great_global_warming_swindle>

LIBERALISM AND THE RELIGION OF ANTI-DISCRIMINATION by James Reed: British PM Tony Blair has recently said: "There is no place in our society for discrimination. That's why I support the right of gay couples to apply to adopt like any other couple." Thus non-discrimination is the ruling ethical principle in all situations, and overrides all religious, philosophical and ethno-cultural considerations.

This however is not a rational moral position. For one thing it begs the question of why non-discrimination is justified at all. Societies work perfectly well with discrimination i.e., choices. Individuals discriminate all the time and life would be impossible without it.

Ethically it is difficult to see how the non-discrimination principle can be justified without presupposing, circularly, its own truth. (Yes, I remember some of my philosophy from my BA!). Yet the non-discrimination principle, with its feet of clay, is the ruling religion of the new world order.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
 Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.